

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 FARMERS INSURANCE EXCHANGE for  
8 Itself and as subrogee of its insured  
9 DOREEN FILOMENA,

10 Plaintiff(s),

11 v.

12 LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC., et al.,

13 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-CV-1389 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

14 Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's report and recommendation  
15 (ECF No. 105) that Farmer Insurance Exchange's ("Farmer") motion to enforce settlement  
16 agreement be granted (ECF No. 104). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing  
17 objections has since passed.

18 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
19 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects  
20 to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo  
21 determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."  
22 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

23 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at  
24 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149  
25 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a  
26 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United*  
27 *States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review  
28

1 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no  
2 objections were made).

3 No party has objected to the report and recommendation. Nevertheless, this court finds it  
4 appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of  
5 the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying briefs, the court finds  
6 that good cause appears to adopt the magistrate judge's findings.

7 Accordingly,

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge  
9 Ferenbach's report and recommendation (ECF No. 105) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED  
10 in its entirety.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Farmer's motion to enforce settlement (ECF No. 104)  
12 be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

13 DATED February 28, 2018.

14   
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28